(3)

C.S. 1402

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 2451 /2004

PETITIONER : Smt. Shamim Akhatar,
W/o Yasin Khan,
R/o Sarwar Manzil, Maudhapara,
Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. R.T.A., Raipur (C.G.)
2. Ramesh Kumar Sharma, C-17, Sector-3, Devendra Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Manohar Shrivas, Raja Talab, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Sukhdev Singh Matharu, New Bombay Market, Raipur.
5. Raghuveer Singh Hora, Shyam Nagar, Raipur.
6. Preetpal Singh Bagga, C-24, Ramkund Vihar, Raipur.

Ajay Srivastava

2438/04 Dinesh Jaiswal

P.R. No. 9/8/04

RECEIVED COPY 7/8/04 Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(88)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.No.2451/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	24/3/2005 Shri Dinesh RK. Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Parag Kotecha, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondent No.1. Shri Jitendra Gupta, Counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4. Heard. Shri Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that permanent permit was granted to the petitioner by R.T.A. on 21/11/2002, which was challenged before the S.T.A.T. The S.T.A.T. passed the impugned order dated 21/7/2003. Counsel for the petitioner contended that the order has been set aside on the ground that opportunity of hearing was not provided and all applications were not considered on merits and principles of natural justice have not been followed. He also contended that for the present ends of justice will be served if a directions is given to the R.T.A. to decide the matter as early as possible as the bus of the petitioner is not permitted to ply and the petitioner is suffering great loss.	

(89)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. 2451/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents. Under the circumstances, the matter is remitted back to the R.T.A. to decide the same within a month from the date of production of copy of this order. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of with liberty tofile afresh if any grievance still remain subsists. Consequently, M.W.P.No.2166/2004 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Copy to R.T.A. for compliance. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	
Gowri/-		

GR.PRJ—FS/78 — 9/2000 —50,000.

29.3.05